

SC Annual School District Report Card Summary

Pickens School District

Grades: PK-12 Enrollment: 16,647

Superintendent: Dr. Henry Hunt Board Chair: Jim Shelton

PERFORMANCE

Comprehensive detail, including definitions of ratings, performance criteria, and explanations of status, is available on www.ed.sc.gov and www.eoc.sc.gov as well as school and school district websites. Printed versions are available from school districts upon request.

| YEAR | ABSOLUTE RATING | GROWTH RATING | PALMETTO GOLD A | ND SILVER AWARD | AYP STATUS | NCLB IMPROVEMENT STATUS |
|------|-----------------|---------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------|-------------------------|
| | | | General Performance | Closing the Gap | | |
| 2009 | Average | Average | N/A | N/A | Not Met | CA |
| 2008 | Below Average | At-Risk | N/A | N/A | Not Met | CDI |
| 2007 | Average | Below Average | N/A | N/A | Not Met | NI |

ABSOLUTE RATINGS OF DISTRICTS WITH STUDENTS LIKE OURS*

| EXCELLENT | GOOD | AVERAGE | BELOW AVERAGE | AT-RISK |
|-----------|------|---------|---------------|---------|
| 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 |

^{*} Ratings are calculated with data available by 06/01/2010. Districts with Students Like Ours are Districts with Poverty Indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for this district.

| PASS | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| PASS | 2009 Reading | 2009 Math | 2009 Science | 2009 Social Studies | 2009 Writing |
| | % Met or above | % Met or above | % Met or above | % Met or above | % Met or above |
| District | 79.7% | 75.7% | 76.1% | 78.5% | 78.5% |
| Districts with Students Like Ours** | 75.5% | 72.9% | 70.3% | 75.1% | 73.0% |
| Average District | 73.6% | 70.5% | 67.4% | 72.2% | 70.2% |

| PASS | 2009 Reading | 2009 Math | 2009 Science | 2009 Social Studies | 2009 Writing |
|---|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| | %Exemplary | %Exemplary | %Exemplary | %Exemplary | %Exemplary |
| District | 41.4% | 33.7% | 22.2% | 35.3% | 38.1% |
| Districts with Students Like Ours** | 37.9% | 31.6% | 20.5% | 31.8% | 33.5% |
| Average District | 35.2% | 28.8% | 18.8% | 28.5% | 29.8% |

| HSAP | | | |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| HSAP: 2nd Year Students | Passed 2 Subtests (%) | Passed 1 Subtest (%) | Passed No Subtests (%) |
| District | 79.7% | 11.1% | 9.2% |
| Districts with Students Like Ours** | 78.0% | 12.4% | 9.6% |

| HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2009 | (%) |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| District | 95.8% |
| Districts with Students Like Ours** | 94.4% |

| End of Course Test Passage Rate (%) | | | |
|-------------------------------------|------|--|--|
| District | 66.7 | | |
| Districts with Students Like Ours** | 66.1 | | |

| On-Time Graduation Rate (%) | |
|-------------------------------------|-------|
| District | 72.6% |
| Districts with Students Like Ours** | 74.7% |

^{**} Districts with Students Like Ours are districts with Poverty Indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for this district.

Performance reported for SC and nation, data not available at school level. Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels.

| (2007) | | | READING - GRADE 4 (2007) | | | | | | |
|---------------|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 41 | 33 | 20 | 5 | | | | | | |
| 34 | 34 | 24 | 7 | | | | | | |
| (2007) | | | | | | | | | |
| 31 | 44 | | 23 | 2 | | | | | |
| 27 | 43 | | 27 | 2 | | | | | |
| % Below Basic | % Basic, Proficie | ent, and A | dvance | d | | | | | |
| ic Proficient | ■ Advanced | | | | | | | | |
| | 34 (2007) 31 27 % Below Basic | 34 34 (2007) 31 44 27 43 % Below Basic % Basic, Proficie | 34 34 24 (2007) 31 44 27 43 % Below Basic % Basic, Proficient, and Aicic | 34 34 24 ■ 7 (2007) 31 44 23 27 43 27 % Below Basic % Basic, Proficient, and Advanced | | | | | |

| MATH - GRADE | E 4 (2007 | ') | | | | |
|---|-----------|------------|---------------------|----------|--------|----------|
| South Carolina | | 20 | 44 | | 31 | 5 |
| Nation | | 19 | 43 | | 33 | 5 |
| MATH – GRADE 8 (2007) South Carolina | | | 39 | 24 | | 7 |
| Nation | | 30 | 39 | 24 | | 7 |
| % Below Basic | | | % Basic, Proficient | , and Ad | vanced | |
| ■ Below Basic | ☐Basic | Proficient | ■ Advanced | | | |

| SCIENCE - GRA | ADE 4 (2 | 005) | | | | |
|----------------|----------|--------------|-------------------|----------|-------|------------|
| South Carolina | | 36 | 39 | | 23 | 2 |
| Nation | | 34 | 39 | | 25 | ■ 2 |
| SCIENCE - GRA | DE 8 (2 | 005) | | | | |
| South Carolina | | 46 | 31 | 21 | 2 | |
| Nation | | 43 | 30 | 24 | | 3 |
| | % | Below Basic | % Basic, Proficie | ent, and | Advan | ced |
| Below Basic | ☐ Basic | ☐ Proficient | ■ Advanced | | | |

SC PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, SC's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.

Pickens School District DISTRICT PROFILE

| | Our District | Change from Last Year | Districts with Students Like Ours | Median District |
|--|--------------|-----------------------|---|--------------------|
| Students (n=16,647) | | | | |
| Retention rate | 3.0% | Down from 3.7% | 2.7% | 3.1% |
| Attendance rate | 95.7% | Up from 95.4% | 96.2% | 95.7% |
| Eligible for gifted and talented | 18.4% | Down from 19.3% | 17.1% | 11.2% |
| With disabilities other than speech | 10.1% | Up from 9.5% | 9.9% | 10.6% |
| Older than usual for grade | 3.2% | Down from 3.5% | 3.1% | 4.3% |
| Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses | 0.8% | No Change | 0.4% | 0.5% |
| Enrolled in AP/IB programs | 18.7% | Up from 17.5% | 18.5% | 10.5% |
| Successful on AP/IB exams | 69.0% | Up from 65.1% | 59.9% | 51.2% |
| Eligible for LIFE Scholarship | 52.0% | Up from 41.1% | 37.3% | 30.8% |
| Enrolled in adult education GED or diploma programs | 194 | Up from 91 | 72 | 40 |
| Completions in adult education GED or diploma programs | 129 | Up from 80 | 70 | 30 |
| Annual dropout rate | 5.5% | Down from 6.2% | 3.8% | 3.4% |
| Teachers (n=1,105) | | | | |
| Teachers with advanced degrees | 61.0% | Up from 58.9% | 59.0% | 56.8% |
| Continuing contract teachers | 82.8% | Down from 85.0% | 78.2% | 76.7% |
| Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates | 2.3% | Up from 2.0% | 2.9% | 4.6% |
| Teachers returning from previous year | 91.9% | Down from 92.6% | 90.7% | 88.4% |
| Teacher attendance rate | 95.9% | Up from 94.9% | 95.4% | 95.0% |
| Average teacher salary* | \$47,519 | Up 2.5% | \$47,840 | \$46,992 |
| Vacancies for more than nine weeks | 0.2% | Up from 0.1% | 0.3% | 0.4% |
| Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers | 0.8% | Up from 0.4% | 0.8% | 2.3% |
| Professional development days/teacher | 12.6 days | Down from 14.8 days | 13.4 days | 13.1 days |
| District | | | | |
| Superintendent's years at district | 0.0 | Down from 2.0 | 3.5 | 3.0 |
| Student-teacher ratio in core subjects | 22.9 to 1 | Up from 22.6 to 1 | 21.5 to 1 | 20.5 to 1 |
| Prime instructional time | 90.7% | Up from 89.1% | 90.5% | 89.8% |
| Dollars spent per pupil** | \$7,915 | Up 4.5% | \$8,569 | \$9,279 |
| Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries** | 54.9% | Up from 54.5% | 54.8% | 52.7% |
| Percent of expenditures for instruction** | 58.4% | Down from 59.5% | 58.0% | 56.7% |
| Opportunities in the arts | Excellent | No Change | Excellent | Excellent |
| Number of schools | 25 | No Change | 19 | 9 |
| Portable classrooms | 8.8% | Down from 14.5% | 3.1% | 3.5% |
| Average age in years of school facilities | 34 Years | Up from 33 Years | 22 Years | 28 Years |
| Number of schools with SACS accreditation | 25.0 | No Change | 12.0 | 8.0 |
| Parents attending conferences | 97.1% | N/A | 95.2% | 93.9% |
| Average administrator salary | \$77,305 | Up 5.1% | \$80,034 | \$79,261 |
| Number of charter schools | 0 | No Change | 0 | 0 |
| % of AYP objectives met * Length of contract = 185+ days. | 90.9% | Up from 78.8% | 91.4% | 85.7% |

CHARTER SCHOOLS IN DISTRICT

| School Name | Absolute Rating | Growth Rating | Adequate Yearly Progress |
|--|-----------------|---------------|--------------------------|
| There are no charter schools in this district. | | | |

Comprehensive detail, including definitions of ratings, performance criteria, and explanations of status, is available on www.ed.sc.gov and www.eoc.sc.gov as well as school and school district websites.

Printed versions are available from school districts upon request.

REPORT OF SUPERINTENDENT

State budget cuts of almost \$5.6 million caused employee furloughs, reduced expenditures, and eliminated programs in 2008-2009, yet the School District of Pickens County still experienced areas of growth and reasons to celebrate. A major accomplishment was district accreditation. A year of preparation and a three-day site visit preceded the March announcement by the AdvancED Accreditation Commission.

The district moved forward in its comprehensive facilities plan. With the beginning of a new school year, major technology upgrades debuted in nine elementary schools, including the newly renovated Pickens Elementary. Contractors began site work for the new Easley, Liberty and Pickens high schools, and renovations began in several elementary schools. The board approved schematics for the new Dacusville Elementary and a second elementary school for the Liberty attendance area. However, a mid-year analysis of the projects and commitments revealed that the scope of work exceeded the money available. Schematics for the high schools, career center, and new elementary schools were revised, and the board approved a new facilities budget just over \$365,000,000.

SDPC continued building an instructional program that provides quality learning experiences for all students. All schools used Measures of Academic Progress (MAP) formative assessments to facilitate instruction. We also added MAP's primary component so that we could measure the growth of our youngest learners. Spring 2009 MAP results placed our students above the national average at all levels from kindergarten through tenth grade in both reading and math.

The district examined and implemented opportunities to reduce the drop-out rate and improve the graduation rate. Because strong early childhood education plays such a crucial role in preventing dropouts, we implemented an early childhood curriculum model across the district. Many students recovered credit through APEX, an online courseware system. Also, we introduced two new courses that provide students with real-life working skills as outlined in the Education Economic Development Act. A year-long class called Teaching and Training at Liberty High and the introduction of a biomedical program at the career center took us a step further in establishing the schools of study in our high schools.

Several initiatives increased communication. Through the district's Web system, teachers created Web pages that provided families with easy access to assignments, longrange plans, and grades. The same system provided teachers with Academic Departments, electronic platforms for collaboration, which also include an online scope and sequence for every academic subject at every grade level. Each school began consistently using a parent communication system that delivers automated phone messages and e-mails.

As we move into a new year, we will continue to pursue our vision of providing every child the opportunity to succeed beyond the classroom.

Henry H. Hunt, Ph.D., Superintendent

^{**} Prior year audited financial data available.